

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/840,063	05/05/2004	Chin-Hua Yang	P04016 6655	
7590 05/11/2005			EXAMINER	
S. Alex Liao			CEGIELNIK, URSZULA M	
12 South First Street, Suite 905 San Jose, CA 95113			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3714	

DATE MAILED: 05/11/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	And the street of the	SA.
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/840,063	YANG, CHIN-HUA
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	Urszula M. Cegielnik	3714
Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period with Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day: ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under Expression.		
Disposition of Claims		
 4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 		
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the d Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	pted or b) objected to by the E rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign part All by Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ty documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
1) ⊠ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 05/05/2004.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 1, line 3, recites "and arm appendages to said torso". The recitation appears to be missing claim language. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kwan.

Kwan discloses a fabric casing (52) having a head portion (74), a torso portion (84) having a bottom opening (the lower portion encompassing reference numeral 56), and arm appendages (72) being pre-filled stuffed (paragraph 0027, line 14) to the torso (84); an inflatable inner tube (54) having an air inlet (38) (paragraph 0021, lines 8-9), a top portion conforming to the size and shape of the head portion (74) of the casing (52) (paragraph 0027, lines 5-12), a bottom portion conforming to the size and shape of the torso portion (84) (paragraph 0027, lines 5-12), the bottom portion having a flat end lower body region (such as a standing leg base, paragraph 0016, line 15); the inner tube can be deflated and inserted through the bottom opening (the lower portion encompassing reference

numeral 56) of the torso portion (84), the inner tube is inflated inside the casing by injecting air through the air inlet (paragraph 0021, lines 8-9), the inner tube can stand up on the flat end of the bottom portion to impart a three dimensional figure (paragraph 0016, line 15) the inner tube can be deflated for a minimum packaging volume (paragraph 0015, lines 14-15); the arm appendages consist of compressible padding materials; the top portion and bottom portion have an oval shape (paragraph 0006, line 31); a pair of eyes, a nose, a pair of ears, a mouth, a red and what color hat, a white beard on the head portion of the casing and decorative ornaments on the torso portion of the casing (paragraph 0028, lines 6-13); the fabric casing consists of red and white color fabrics (the casing may be configured as a Santa Claus (paragraph 0028, line 16) which inherently includes clothes having red and white color); the inner tube is made of rubber or plastic materials of some elasticity (paragraph 0015, entire paragraph).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kwan in view of Lemelson.

Kwan discloses the claimed invention except for illuminating ornaments being fiber optic; illuminating ornaments on the torso portion, and a power supply

connected to the ornaments and the power supply being contained in a pocket on the fabric casing.

Lemelson teaches illuminating ornaments (22) in the form of electric lamps on the external surface of an inflated display (col. 3, lines 37-38), a power supply (22) connected to the ornaments (25); the power supply (22) being contained in a pocket on the fabric casing (col. 3, lines 37-43 and col. 4, lines 56-57).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide illuminating ornaments on the external surface (torso portion) of the inflated display and associated power supply as taught by Lemelson, since such a modification would provide enhanced visual appeal to the device.

With respect to the illuminating ornaments being fiber optic It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide illumination, since the examiner takes Official Notice of the equivalence of electric lamps and fiber optics for their use in the illumination art and the selection of any of these shown equivalents to provide illumination would be within the level of ordinary skill in the art.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Urszula M. Cegielnik whose telephone number is 571-272-4420. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, from 5:45AM - 2:15PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Derris H. Banks can be reached on 571-272-4419.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306 for both regular and After Final communications.

Urszula M. Cegielnik Assistant Examiner Art Unit 3714

DERRIS H. BANKS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700